

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/585,766	07/12/2006	Masashi Onishi	58546.00030	7887		
32294 SOLURE SAN	7590 02/20/200 NDERS & DEMPSEY I		EXAM	IINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE 14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212			LUK, EMN	LUK, EMMANUEL S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/20/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/585,766	ONISHI ET AL			
Examiner	Art Unit			
EMMANUEL S. LUK	1791			

eamed	patenti	term ac	ajustmen	it. See 3/	CFR	1.704(0)

	EMMANUEL S. LUK	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of inns may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SX (6) ACMTHS from the making date of the communication.  Failure to reply within the act or extended period for reply will by shatute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making camed patient from daylurations. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till  Ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	tober 2008.				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This a					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are pending in the appl	lication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-12 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413) ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No/s VMail Date	6) Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/585,766

Art Unit: 1791

#### DETAILED ACTION

 The indicated allowability of claim 3 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to EP 1382429 in view of JP 11-170319. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The prior art of record fails to teach an injection molding unit with a motor having a brake unit that also drives the ejector apparatus, the ejector apparatus having a return spring to bias the ejector pin opposite the direction the ejector pin is projected, the brake unit generating the torque greater than the biasing force of the return spring.

 Claims 1, 4-6, 8-10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1382429 in view of JP 11-170319.

EP '429 teaches the use of a control system of a servomotor 4 for driving an ejector rod of an ejector unit and the ejector rod 15 presses against an ejector plate 12 against the force of a spring for during the ejector plate 12 from a position where the ejector rod 15 abuts against ejector plate 12. EP '429 also teaches the use of a mold clamping servomotor for driving the mold platen, see [0025].

Application/Control Number: 10/585,766

Art Unit: 1791

EP '429 fails to teach the motor having a brake unit, electromagnetic brake, and hollow output shaft.

JP '319 teaches an injection molding machine with a motor and brake unit 16, the brake unit includes an electromagnet 35, the brake unit also having a hollow output shaft, see Figure 6, element 106. JP '319 already teaches a motor with brake unit for driving an injection apparatus.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify EP '429 with a motor having a brake unit as taught by JP '319 to improve in controlling the amount of torque of the servomotor.

One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize the need for the brake unit to generate greater torque over the torque provided by the motor such that it would sufficiently brake the motor and drive unit.

4. Claims 2 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1382429 in view of JP 11-170319 as applied to claims 1, 4-6, and 8-13 above, and further in view of JP 2003-117970.

JP '319 fails to teach the controllers such as driving circuit.

JP '970 shows the use of controllers for an electromagnetic brake used in an injection molding machine, the brakes being controlled according to the time sequence, see Figure 3. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify JP '319 with a controller taught by JP '970 that allows for exact timing for control of the brakes and for the operation of the machine.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/585,766

Art Unit: 1791

 Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1382429 in view of JP 11-170319 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Iwatsuki (4781080).

EP '429 in view of JP '319 fails to teach a brake cover attached to the frame and rotational speed detection part.

Iwatsuki teaches sensors known as output shaft sensor 82 for detecting a rotation speed of the output shaft 70, among other sensors that are controlled by a computer in an automatic transmission, thereby allowing for control of the engine torque.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify EP '429 with including rotational speed detection part as taught by Iwatsuki for detecting the rotational speed of the output shaft thereby allowing for the computer to better control the torque.

In regards to the brake cover being open and strip-shaped, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the brake cover of EP '429 in view of JP '319 with the cover being open so that it would allow for ease of access for maintenance and for access of the rotational speed detection part for monitoring.

## Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/585,766 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

A new rejection has been made in light of newly found references. The
previously indicated allowable subject matter have been withdrawn and the new
rejections address the features as shown above.

### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. EP 1205291 A1
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EMMANUEL S. LUK whose telephone number is (571)272-1134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,766 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Yogendra N Gupta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791

EL